

# Contents

Introduction	Page 2
What are experts?	Page 3
Scientific?	Page 4
Other examples of Evolution?	Page 5
More experts	Page 7
Eve—the common ancestor	Page 10
Amazing order	Page 10
How science changes	Page 13
Another scientific anomaly	Page 14
Mutations	Page 14
Radiometric dating	Page 16
Evidence for young age	Page 17
Theistic evolution	Page 18
Consequences	Page 18
Contact details	Page 20

## **Introduction**

It is 1981 and I am in my 7th form year (year 13 in 2020) at High School. I am sitting in my Biology class learning about evolution and how we all evolved from primordial pond scum. Later we are shown pictorials of how the ape to man evolution took place including Nebraska man and Piltdown man.

I am 17 years old and my Biology teacher has a university degree so he must be right. I believe him and swallow the information, hook, line and sinker.


Skip ahead to the present—it is 2020. I now reject evolution as being true and believe in Biblical Creation.

So how did that evolution take place?! The two dogmas are so far removed, and anyway, isn't Biblical Creation only believed by ignorant simpletons who have nothing, and just need to believe the Bible as a crutch in life?

This booklet will seek to keep it simple and present basic facts of science that clearly disprove evolution and challenge the reader to consider the Bible as a reliable testimony of God.

## What are experts?

When I was at High School, I regarded my Biology Teacher as the “expert” - after all he was the one with the University Degree, not me. But I have since learnt that the Nebraska man, which we were being told was part of the link between ape and man, was a creature created from the tooth of an extinct pig! And worse than that, Piltdown man, another supposed link; was a deliberate fraud.




Yet the world, including the “expert” scientific fraternity, believed and taught this for at least 70 years. I was still being taught it as fact in 1981. I did meet my Biology teacher many years later and asked him why he still taught us this, when it had been debunked in 1953, some 28 years before! His reply was that it takes time for scientific information to filter down to the schools. You be the judge as to whether that explanation holds water, or are there are other reasons like blind faith or deception.

In a court room there will often be two qualified and expert lawyers—one arguing black and the other arguing white; one saying guilty and the other saying innocent. Just because they may be both experts in law, does not determine if the defendant is guilty or innocent. It must be the truth or otherwise of the evidence.

# Scientific?

It is often assumed that evolution is “scientific” vs The Bible which is only allegorical; written in an ignorant age and has no scientific credibility. To be termed scientific, a method of enquiry must be based on gathering empirical and measurable evidence and be able to be observed. Evolution fails badly on this score as it cannot be observed. In fact there is not one example of evolution in creation demonstrating partly formed creatures evolving from one form to another. And this includes the fossil record which should be full of such. We now have access to millions of fossils, all of which are fully formed and functional creatures. The best effort I can think of which seeks to prove the evolution to higher forms is Eohippus—the little horse.

## Evolution of the horse



© Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

The evolutionary drawings and even the order they are shown in museums, would have us believe this is the case. But in fact fossils of Eohippus and the modern Equus have been found side by side in surface rocks. And the original 1870's fossils are now not available. Nowhere in the world, are these fossils found in the strata order presented by the evolutionary view—so is it fact or fiction?

## **Other examples of Evolution?**

A couple of other popular examples most of us have grown up with are:


### 1) The Peppered Moth.

Supposedly during the industrial revolution, the brown speckled Peppered moth was able to evolve into a black moth. This advantaged it by allowing it to be now more camouflaged on the soot covered trees.

### 2) Galapagos Island finches.

These finches have different shaped beaks supposedly evolved to enable easier access to food sources as habitats changed.

The important thing to “observe” and “measure” in both of these examples (remember the definition of scientific), is that the moths are still moths and the birds still birds. As we now know a lot more about heredity, our makeup is determined by the information in our DNA. The Peppered moths already had genes for producing black coloured ones of its species before the industrial revolution. While habitat may determine which variants of colour survive, nothing has changed in what creature it is. The same is true of the finches. Habitat does not inject new information into animals allowing them to become something different. One will often hear talk of bacteria becoming resistant to antibiotics, as if they have evolved in some way. The fact is, that the bacteria is still just that. And there will always be a portion of a population that will already be resistant due its DNA. These bacteria therefore survive and are the population that breeds, giving its offspring the same DNA resistance. This is hardly evolution in the advancement of species from one to another. In contrast to this, the science of the Bible, some 3500 years ago knew that creatures would multiply after “their kind” (Genesis chapter 1).



1. *Geospiza magnirostris*.  
3. *Geospiza parvula*.

2. *Geospiza fortis*.  
4. *Certhidea olivacea*.

## More Experts

The original starting point of evolution has an explosion—The Big Bang. After this we are told that the gases etc. slowly cooled as planets and life started crawling out of the pond and then evolving over time to where we are today—evolved to a species so advanced and intelligent, that we are on the brink of destroying ourselves by global pollution and war. Not much of an advertisement for evolution!


Again, is this scenario what we can observe in nature? Do explosions and random events create order or the exact opposite—chaos and disorder?

I found a Casio G-shock wrist watch on the beach the other day, and the waves and sand rubbing together over time had made this watch. Slowly the hands had been formed, and all the internal electronics which measure temperature, pressure, direction etc. had been formed—all through random chance and time. Is that believable or scientific? Of course not. And neither is the theory of evolution. Neurosurgeon Dr Ben Carson who was the first to be able to successfully separate conjoined twins at the head, put it this way: If you put a hurricane through a junkyard, it will not produce a 747, no matter how many times you try! In principle, this is the same as evolution. It teaches that chaos produces order given enough time—this is just not observable therefore not true science.

A number of years ago I attended a lecture given by Dr Carson. As an expert in neuro-science, he presented some facts about the human brain. He said that if he put electrodes on a certain part of the brain, he could cause a 70 year old person to recall verbatim, the words of a newspaper article read 50 years earlier!

He also taught how amazing the human brain is to absorb information. If you were to learn a new fact every second for a million years, your brain would still not be full!

Based on observable evidence, it takes a lot more faith to believe that the order and beauty we have, came from a big explosion, rather than an intelligent creator.





The Bible says:

Psa 139:14 I will praise You; for I am fearfully and wonderfully made; Your works are marvelous and my soul knows it very well.

Dr Ben Carson is not the only expert testifying against evolution. There are many top scientists in their field who are Bible believing creationists. Such Christians are often accused of having blind faith, but in all areas of science, the Bible stands vindicated although it was written over a period of 1600 years by over 40 different human authors. See our website:

[www.bibletruthrestored.org](http://www.bibletruthrestored.org) for a booklet entitled: “Is the Bible Scientific?” This booklet demonstrates that in areas such as hygiene, hydrology, archaeology, oceanography, astronomy, meteorology, physics, biology, geology and medicine the Bible knew its science before man discovered it.

Many well respected and famous inventors and scientists were Bible believing Christians such as:

Johannes Kepler—Astronomy

Robert Boyle—Chemistry

Carl Linnaeus—Botany

Georges Cuvier—Biologist

Michael Faraday—Electric Power

Samuel Morse—Telegraph

Charles Babbage—Computing

Matthew Maury—Oceanography

James Joule—Experimenter

Louis Pasteur—Scientist

Gregor Mendel—Genetics

Joseph Lister—Modern surgery

Wright Brothers—Aviation

And the great Isaac Newton, still arguably regarded as the greatest sci-

entist of all time although he lived almost four centuries ago—a committed monotheistic Bible believing creationist.

Today there are also many, in all manner of scientific fields who believe the same.

## **Eve—the common ancestor**

Evolutionists have in recent times, due to a study of DNA, come to the conclusion that all humans stem from one woman—they call her African Eve due to the belief that this first woman originated in Africa, and as a loose reference to Biblical Eve. I find this fascinating, as the Bible, 3500 years ago tells us, all humans descend from the first woman God made. The Bible knew it first! And if this first human woman, was to provide descendants to continue the human race, then presumably there needed to be a DNA compatible male mate, at the same time and in the same place! The odds keep mounting against evolution.

## **Amazing Order**

Our earth is often referred to as the “Goldilocks” planet—so many attributes are “just right”:

- Atmosphere—lets the right amount of light through for life.
- Water—we have this fundamental product for life to exist. And we have it in several forms—solid, liquid and gas. Amazingly, water should be a gas due its light gaseous construction. But due to having a weak polar charge, water molecules bond together as a liquid—very convenient!
- Temperature—we are an ideal distance from our “just the right size” star (the sun) to give us an acceptable living temperature on earth.
- Earth’s Rotation—the right speed to enable the dark side of earth to not freeze over in rotation.
- Oxygen—critical element for life.



- Moon—a bigger moon would create a greater gravitational force flooding the earth.

These are a small selection of the estimated 250 or more amazing features of our planet—blind chance or intelligent design?

This list has dealt with the more major attributes in the earth's structure, but if we take a look anywhere in nature, we see the same demand for design. Creatures are fully formed with functional characteristics. My favourite little critter that defies evolution is the Bombardier Beetle.

The Bombardier Beetle measures only around 12-18 mm depending on the species. But this fierce little beetle can, when threatened, shoot out a hot noxious chemical spray at 100 degrees celsius to ward off or kill predators. Two chemicals are mixed together under control and helped by other catalysts to produce this reaction. High speed photography has shown that the spray pulses at around 500 pulses per second. Add to this the stabilising ability to not blow itself up, and to be able to spray in whatever direction necessary without blowing its legs off; we have here an amazing example of intelligent design!

Irreducible Complexity is what it is called—take away any one feature and the whole mechanism falls flat and is destroyed. Yet the evolutionary model dictates that creatures change slowly over long periods of time.



## How Science changes

Man's science has a habit of changing over time. Beliefs that were modern science of their era, have now been overturned. The problem is at the time, they maybe did not have all the facts.

Or, there were other motives in play—personal grievances or prejudices. Think again of the court room analogy. You are on the jury and hearing the testimony of a witness saying he saw the accused steal the laptop from the store. He sounds believable, until you later are told, that this witness hated the accused because he envied his wealth. To make an informed decision we must have all the facts or at least have examined as many as possible.

I made this mistake when I believed in evolution.

Below are just a few examples to show how “science” is not ironclad or rock solid in its accuracy:

- All believed the earth was flat (Bible—the earth is round—Is 40:22).
- Common belief that the earth was supported by elephants or giant turtles (Bible—God hung the earth on nothing—Job 26:7).
- Neanderthal man was presented as a link in the evolution of man. It is now known that they co-existed with modern man, so what's the difference?
- Blood Letting was common for treating disease which effectively killed the patient (Bible—the life is in the blood—Lev 17: 11).
- The Universe was regarded as stationary now considered to be expanding.
- Einstein's fixed constant—the speed of light is now being re-examined as not fixed.
- Modern medicine up to the late 19th century did not practise strict hand washing when examining corpses. Ignaz Semmelweiss the “saviour of Mothers” instituted strict hand washing in hospitals not only after examining corpses, but after each patient, thereby halting transmissible disease and saving many lives. Interestingly, he was ridiculed by his peers for this despite results

(Bible—wash after contact with the dead / quarantine after leprosy—Num 19, Lev 13-15).

- 1950's thalidomide given to pregnant women to ease morning sickness—caused severe birth defects.

In contrast, the Bible's teaching, always ahead of its time, is evidence of a divine author—the Creator.

## **Another Scientific anomaly**

The second law of thermodynamics basically states that everything is tending to disorder and is losing energy—things are wearing out. This is a relatively recent discovery which the Bible knew as long ago as 700 BC:

Psa 102:25-26 Long ago you created the earth, and with your own hands you made the heavens. They will disappear, but you will remain; they will all wear out like clothes. You will discard them like clothes, and they will vanish.

Not only is it astonishing that the Bible could know this ahead of modern science, but the truth of it contradicts the evolutionary principle which tells us that creation is getting better over time. In fact we are devolving not evolving.

## **Mutations**


Another critical element required for the evolutionary model to work, is that mutations are beneficial in an organism. Not only that, but there needs to be millions of these to change the DNA information available for the huge leaps required in evolution from Amoeba to man.

Dr Georgia Purdom, a Bible believing christian (PhD in molecular genetics—you could say an expert!) says:

“But are there such things as beneficial mutations? In short, no, but let me explain. While I have yet to see evidence of a truly beneficial muta-


tion, I have seen evidence of mutations with beneficial outcomes in restricted environments. Mutations are context dependent, meaning their environment determines whether the outcome of the mutation is beneficial. One well-known example is antibiotic resistance in bacteria. In an environment where antibiotics are present, mutations in the bacterial DNA that alter the target of the antibiotic allow the bacteria to survive (the bacteria are faced with a “live or die” situation). However, these same mutations come at the cost of altering a protein or system that is important for the normal functioning of the bacteria (such as nutrient acquisition). If the antibiotics are removed, typically the antibiotic resistant bacteria do not fare as well as the normal (or wild-type) bacteria whose proteins and systems are not affected by mutations. There are numerous other examples as well. Thus, the benefit of any given muta-

For more details, read “The Collapse of Evolution” by Scott M. Huse, available from Chick Publications.



<p><b>LUCY</b> Nearly all experts agree Lucy was just a 3 foot tall chimpanzee *</p>	<p><b>HEIDELBERG MAN</b> Built from a jaw bone that was conceded by many to be quite human.</p>	<p><b>NEBRASKA MAN</b> Scientifically built up from one tooth, later found to be the tooth of an extinct pig.</p>	<p><b>PILTDOWN MAN</b> The jawbone turned out to belong to a modern ape.</p>	<p><b>PEKING MAN</b> Supposedly 500,000 years old, but all evidence has disappeared.</p>
--	---	---	--	--

\* For details watch Part 2 of the Creation Seminar Series video by Dr. Kent Hovind.



<p><b>NEANDERTHAL MAN</b> At the Int'l. Congress of Zoology (1958) Dr. A.J.E. Cave said his examination showed that this famous skeleton found in France over 50 years ago is that of an old man who suffered from arthritis.</p>	<p><b>NEW GUINEA MAN</b> Dates way back to 1970. This species has been found in the region just north of Australia.</p>	<p><b>CRO-MAGNON MAN</b> One of the earliest and best established fossils is at least equal in physique and brain capacity to modern man... so what's the difference?</p>	<p><b>MODERN MAN</b> This genius thinks he came from a monkey. “Professing themselves to be wise they became fools.” Romans 1:22</p>
---	---	---	--

tion is not an independent “quality, but rather a dependent quality based on the environment.”

## **Radiometric Dating**

Varying types of radiometric dating are used to determine the age of rocks and fossils. Essentially, these processes measure the amount of what is called original “parent” radioactive element vs the amount of decayed “daughter” element. For example, radioactive uranium-238 decays to lead-206 and potassium-40 into argon-40. Carbon-14 (radiocarbon) dating cannot be used on rock as most rocks do not contain carbon-14. Also carbon-14 dissipates in only tens of thousands of years.

We are told by evolutionists that these dating processes prove the earth is billions of years old, but are they reliable?

Firstly, it needs to be pointed out that they are based on assumption not observable science. It is assumed that:

- 1) The original parent element has had no leakage in or out during its decay time.
- 2) The rate of decay has been constant.
- 3) There has been no leakage in or out of the daughter element.

And if the Biblical Creation account is in fact true, then an observer after 6 days of creation would see an earth with the appearance of a much greater age. This also would skew any effort to ascertain the true age of the earth.

Dr Andrew Snelly (PhD in geology and a Bible believing Christian) has dated coal samples purported to be 30 million and 300 million years old by evolutionary measure. Samples contained carbon-14 which is impossible if they are in fact millions of years old; and were dated to no more than tens of thousands of years old. In fact coal, which is supposed to take millions of years to form, has been made in 30 minutes.

This is just one of very many such age anomalies in the dating process.

One unanswerable mystery for evolution is the existence of radiohalos.



These are formed by the decay of Polonium in rock. The problem is that secular geologists believe the host granites took millions of years to form, but the process had to be much faster—only hours or weeks—for polonium radiohalos to appear. They shouldn't exist, according to conventional wisdom!

## **Evidence for young age**

The theory of evolution falls flat and looks very silly without its millions and billions of years. So, if the earth's creation account in the book of Genesis in the Bible is accurate; we would expect to find evidence of this. And in fact we do. Here are just a few examples:

- 1) Astronomers observe that about every 30 years a star explodes into a super nova. There are about 300 of these super nova which gives us a creation date no more than 9,000 years. If the universe is billions of years old, there should be several hundred million super nova.
- 2) Human world population mapping shows that the population of the earth today would have started around 4,000 years ago—the right time for Noah's flood and the new start of human population growth from 8 people.
- 3) The earth's magnetic field is getting weaker. It has declined 10% in the last 150 years, and 40% in the last 1000 years. This shows the earth cannot be any older than 25,000 years.
- 4) The Great Barrier Reef based on measurable growth patterns cannot be any older than 4,200 years.
- 5) The oceans are becoming more salty and the current salinity of them is 3.6%. From zero to this point would only take around 5,000 years.
- 6) The amount of helium in the atmosphere points to a young earth.
- 7) In recent years, there have been many findings of “wondrously preserved” biological materials in supposedly ancient rock layers and fossils. One such discovery that has left evolutionists scrambling is a fossilized Tyrannosaurus rex femur with flexible

connective tissue, branching blood vessels, and even intact cells! According to evolutionists, these dinosaur tissues are more than 65 million years old, but laboratory studies have shown that there is no known way—and likely none possible—for biological material to last more than thousands of years.

- 8) Evolutionists tell us that the Grand Canyon is millions of years old—formed over a long time period by small amounts of water. However in 1980, Mount St Helens in Washington state USA, exploded forming 30 metre deep canyons and laying down rock strata layers in a matter of days.

## **Theistic Evolution**

Some well meaning Christians who buy in to the evolutionary dating, have sought to meld evolution and Biblical creation and create a hybrid creation model, where God started it all going, but evolution has carried it on. In fact such an unholy union is impossible for several reasons:

A) The dogma of evolution is fundamentally founded on random and natural selection, and survival of the fittest. There is no place for an intelligent reasoned creator.

B) It contradicts the Bible. The Genesis creation account says God created the heavens and the earth in six days. The original Hebrew word for day is “yom” which is the same word used of a normal day in scripture. The six days are specifically qualified by “evening and morning” ie. 24 hour period and cannot be interpreted as millions of years. Elsewhere in the Bible (Ex 20:11), this six day literal creation is confirmed. The Bible teaches that death came through sin, not natural selection. Land animals and man co-existed, whereas evolution has dinosaurs long gone before modern man appears. The Bible teaches that man has been created for a purpose—to glorify God whereas evolution teaches we are all here through random forces with no purpose.


## **Consequences**

Most would have heard of Charles Darwin’s book “On The Origin of

Species”; but how many realise how it is subtitled? It is: “Preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life.” This is purely racist and such a view that some races of humanity are favoured to survive, fuelled the act of slavery, and the likes of Hitler’s zeal for a pure race. There is one race created by God—the human race—all with the same ancestors.

If we are here by random chance, and natural selection; if we are simply evolved pond scum with no purpose or reason for our existence, then we do well to feel hopeless.

In contrast, the Bible reveals that we are created for a purpose, and indeed that our creator foreknew us before we were born. He continues to interact in our life and wants to bring us into relationship with him as Father. The promises of the Bible are that in Christ, we shall inherit a restored paradise on earth and never die. We are far more than just dust in the circle of life. Even with the evidence available for Biblical Creation, why is it that many are still resistant to believe it? The simple an-



swer is that we do not like to be owned, or told what to do. We are created and therefore owned by God, we are not our own. For many, it panders to the pride of man more to adopt evolution despite the evidence against it.

The evolutionary theory and survival of the fittest principle, has lead to a terrible hopelessness in our society which then reveals itself in depression, despair, selfishness, violence and even suicide.

My hope would be that the reader, as a result of this presentation; would be motivated to examine the evidence for a creator further. Please realise that our life can have great purpose and meaning in the hands of our loving and merciful Father God, through the sacrifice of his son Jesus Christ.

Isa 66:1-2 The LORD says, Heaven is my throne, and the earth is my footstool. What kind of house, then, could you build for me, what kind of place for me to live in? I myself created the whole universe! I am pleased with those who are humble and repentant, who fear me and obey me.

Further booklets are available at the website below on further apologetic Bible subjects such as:

- The Remarkable witness of the Jews to the truth of Bible prophecy.
- Only Jesus fits the Messianic mould.
- Is the Bible scientific?

To make further contact, or to download more booklets or audios see:

[www.bibletruthrestored.org](http://www.bibletruthrestored.org)

